ISSN : 2309-3234
DOI : 10.62948

TMSS Medical College Journal (TMCJ)

Volume 25, Issue 01, January 2026

Contents

Editorial

- From Immunohistochemistry to Molecular Testing for the Precision Cancer Management of Breast Cancer. 07-09
  Rahman DA, Akter S.

Original Article

- Immunohistochemistry-Based Molecular Subtype Distribution of Invasive Breast Cancer and Its Clinicopathological Correlates in a Bangladeshi Cohort. 11-23
  Rahman DA, Habib AA, Mazid A, Akter S, Ahmed F, Benzir M, Sakib MN, Rahman MT, Alamgir MH.
- Students' Perception of the Educational Environment Using the Dundee Ready Education Environment Measure (DREEM) at TMSS Medical College, Bogura, Bangladesh. 25-36
  Habib MA, Hoque SMM, Ansari AAM, Tasnim T, Arzuman H.
- Menstrual Disorders and Relationship with Psychological Stress among Medical Students 37-43
  Zohura FT, Rahman F, Jahan R, Alam MM, Ferdous R.
- Prognostic Significance of COL10A1 Overexpression in Breast Cancer Patients from Different Sociodemographic Backgrounds. 44-51
  Roy NR, Das D, Hoque F, Jannat T, Tasnim A.
- Resource-Optimized PRP: A Comparative Study of Anticoagulants and Centrifugation Techniques in Bangladesh. 53-60
  Hoque MA, Masud MAA, Basak SK, Mamun AA.
- Clinical Presentation and Culture Yield of Urinary Tract Infection Among Symptomatic Pregnant Women: A Tertiary Hospital Experience. 61-68
  Sultana T, Akhther MH, Mahamuduzzaman AS, Shireen F, Parvin MR.

An Official Publication of TMSS Medical College

TMSS Medical College Journal (TMCJ)

January 2026

An Official Publication
of
TMSS Medical College, Bogura, Bangladesh

TMSS Medical College Journal (TMCJ)

Volume 25, Issue. 01, January 2026

An Official Publication of TMSS Medical College, Bogura, Bangladesh

Advisory Board

Prof. Dr. Hosne-Ara Begum
Prof. Dr. Jawadul Haque
Prof. Dr. Sayeba Akhter
Prof. Dr. M. Afzal Hossain
Prof. Dr. M. Aminul Islam
Prof. Dr. Shamima Parvin Lasker

Editorial Board

Chairperson : Prof. Dr. Md. Zakir Hossain
Editor-in-Chief : Prof. Dr. Md. Shahjahan Ali Sarker
Associate Editor : Prof. Dr. Md. Abdul Mazid
Advisory Editor : Prof. Dr. Moudud Hossain Alamgir
Managing Editor : Dr. Md. Matiur Rahman

Local Members

Prof. Dr. A.K.M Ahsan Habib
Prof. Dr. Md. Azfarul Habib
Prof. Dr. Md. Rafiqul Islam
Prof. Dr. Md. Anup Rahman Choudhury
Prof. Dr. Nur-A-Farhana Islam
Prof. Dr. Nahida Akther Zahan
Prof. Dr. Tania Yeasmin
Prof. Dr. Sultana Razia
Prof. Dr. Shamim Ahmed Choudhury
Prof. Dr. Md. Amirul Islam
Dr. D. M Arifur Rahman
Dr. Fahim Uddin Ahmad
Dr. Md. Ahamedul Kabir

International Members

Prof. Dr. Dhananjaya Sharma
Prof. Dr. Anurag Srivastava
Prof. Dr. Shariq Rashid Mosoodi
Prof. Olav Muurlink
Prof. Dr. Paul Mailwaring
Prof. Dr. Md. Faizus Sazzad
Prof. Soraj Hongladarom
Prof. Ravichandran Moorthy
Prof. Darryl Macer
Prof. Dr. Hasan Erbay

The TMSS Medical College Journal (TMCJ) is published two times a year (January and July). The TMSS Medical College Journal (TMCJ) is approved by Bangladesh Medical & Dental Council (BM&DC/4-D-2016/1478: Dated 15.01.2017). It accepts original articles, review articles and case reports. While every effort is always made by the Editorial Board and the members of the Journal Committee to avoid inaccurate or misleading information appearing in the TMSS Medical College Journal, information within the individual article are the responsibility of its author(s). The TMSS Medical College Journal, its Editorial Board and Journal Committee accept no liability whatsoever for the consequences of any such inaccurate and misleading information, opinion or statement.

Address of Correspondence

Editor-in-Chief, TMSS Medical College Journal, TMSS Medical College
Rangpur Road, Thengamara, Bogura, Bangladesh. Phone: 01775-316617
e-mail: editortmcjournal@gmail.com, Web: www.tmcjournal.org, www.tmssmedicalcollege.com

Printed by: TMSS Printing Press, Bogura, Bangladesh. e-mail: tmssprintingpress@gmail.com

TMSS Medical College Journal (TMCJ)

INFORMATION FOR AUTHORS

TMSS Medical College Journal (TMCJ) follows the guidelines and principles of the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors- ICMJE *(www.icmje.org)* and the COPE *(www.publicationethics.org)*. Papers are accepted for publication with an understanding that they are submitted solely to the journal of 'TMSS Medical College Journal' and are subject to peer review and editorial revision. The statement, views and opinions expressed in the articles are those of the author(s) and are not necessarily those of the editor or publisher.

General Instructions

a. Article must be within 5 years of research work.
b. Authors of research work should be of relevant fields.
c. Manuscript must be in English, Use Times New Roman 11 sized font.
d. Type manuscripts double-spaced, on a good quality A4 sized paper, including references, figures with legends and tables on one side of the page only.
e. Leave a margin of 05 cm on all sides of the page. Beginning with abstract page, all the pages (including pages containing tables, figures and references) will contain page numbers at the lower right-hand corner.
f. Cite each reference in text in numerical order and list in the reference section.
g. Begin each component of the manuscript with a new page in the sequence of (i) Title page with author information, name of the department(s) and institutions to which the work should be attributed, name md address of the author with post code responsible for correspondence and requests for reprints (ii) Abstract page (iii) Text (iv) References (v) Tables (vi) Figures with legends, for illustrations.
h. Present the text in the sequence of Introduction, Methods & Materials, Results and Discussion.
i. Use SI units of measurements (for blood pressure use mm of Hg).
j. Define Statistical methods in method section of the paper.
k. Use standard abbreviations, the full forms of which should precede in its first use in the text
l. As a general rule, articles should not exceed 10-12 pages. Too much large volume manuscripts will not be accepted for publication.

Submission process

With two copies of manuscript (hard copy) directly or by courier or postal services to Editor-in-chief, TMSS Medical College Journal and/or A soft copy of Manuscript (MS Word) must be sent to *editortmcjournal@gmail.com* with scanned copy of all desired documents. Online submission will be possible through *https://www.tmcjournal.org*

Covering letter

Article must be accompanied by a covering letter, signed by all authors, containing statements that they are the only authors, The article represents original work and we take full responsibility for the information provided, the Manuscript has not been published elsewhere, accepted for publication elsewhere or under editorial review for publication elsewhere by any other journal. All copyright ownership of the manuscript will be transferred to the publishers of the TMSS Medical College Journal (TMCJ) once the article is accepted for publication in the journal. They must declare that they made the manuscript plagiarism free. On submission of the manuscript, the authors agree not to withdraw their manuscript at any stage prior to publication. Acknowledgements, Conflicts of interest & Funding are clearly mentioned, if any.

Author's contribution

In case of multiple authors, need an author contribution certificate (or statement) about specific contribution of each author in the study and the manuscript has been seen and approved as well as the order of authors in the manuscript.

Ethical Issues

Author must submit a copy of clearance certificate from an Institutional Ethical Review Board (IERB) or Institutional review Board (IRB) or Ethical Committee or from any authorized body along with the manuscript & mention the memo number within bracket in the methodology section while describing ethical issues.

Title page with author information (first page, but do not number)

a. Full title of the article not exceeding 50 characters with three to five key words for use as indexing terms and as running title for use on top of the text pages.
b. Author's names, highest academic degree, affiliations, and complete address for correspondence including Telephone/Mobile number, and e-mail address.

Abstract page (First numbered page)

a. Do not cite references in the abstract. Be concise (250 words, maximum).
b. Limit use of acronyms and abbreviations. Abbreviations must be defined at the first mention.
c. The abstract should be a total of about 250 words maximum. Abstract should cover Background and Purpose (description of rationale for study); Methods (brief description of methods); Results (presentation of significant results); and Conclusion (succinct statement of data interpretation) in separate headings.

The Text/Main body

The following are typical main headings:

Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results, Discussion and Conclusion

a. Introduction: Summarize the rationale for the study with pertinent references. The purpose(s) of the study should be clearly stated.
b. Materials and Methods: Identify type of study and describe the study subjects and methods used. Provide methods of statistical analysis, Cite reference(s) for standard study and statistical methods. Describe new or modified methods. Identify apparatus (with name and address of manufacturer) used. Generic names of drugs must be given. Manuscripts that describe studies on humans must indicate that the study was approved by an Institutional Ethical Committee and that the subjects gave informed consent. Add statistical analysis.
c. Results: Present only important results/observations in logical sequence in the text, tables or illustrations with relevant statistics.
d. Discussion: Emphasize new and important results and the conclusions that follow including implications and limitations. Relate observations to other relevant studies.
e. References: References must be listed following the Vancouver style. These should be numbered consecutively in the order in which they are first mentioned in the text as superscript number. Number of references should be kept below 40 except review article. All authors must be listed if less than six. Use et al, if more.
f. Table & Figures: Tables & figures should be placed within the text of the manuscript. Number of tables & figures consecutively in the order of their first citation in the text. Tables should not duplicate in the text of the article and avoid internal horizontal & vertical rules. Total number of tables & figures must not exceed 10.

Original Article

Resource-Optimized PRP: A Comparative Study of Anticoagulants and Centrifugation Techniques in Bangladesh

Hoque MA[1*], Masud MAA[2], Basak SK[3], Mamun AA[4]

1. Dr. Md. Ashraful Hoque, Assistant Professor, Department of Transfusion Medicine, Cumilla Medical College, Cumilla.
2. Dr. Md. Abdullah Al Masud, Associate Professor, Department of Transfusion Medicine, TMSS Medical College, Bogura.
3. Dr. Sushanta Kumar Basak, Assistant Professor, Department of Transfusion Medicine, Faridpur Medical College, Faridpur.
4. Dr. ABM Al Mamun, Registrar, Department of Transfusion Medicine, Dhaka Medical College Hospital, Dhaka.

***Corresponding Author**

Abstract

Background: Platelet-rich plasma (PRP) effectiveness depends on platelet concentration, centrifugation geometry, and anticoagulant choice. International protocols favour swing-bucket centrifuges and ACD-A anticoagulant due to superior platelet recovery, but in Bangladesh fixed-angle centrifuges and sodium citrate are widely used because of affordability and availability. This study compared PRP yield between these two locally adapted and internationally recommended techniques.

Materials and Methods: This analytical laboratory-based study was conducted between March and May 2025 in selected government and private hospital laboratories in Bangladesh. Eighty PRP samples were prepared using fixed-angle or swing-bucket centrifuges with either sodium citrate (3.2%) or ACD-A as anticoagulant (20 samples per group). Baseline platelet count, PRP platelet count, platelet concentration factor (PCF), WBC content, and RBC contamination were assessed. Analysis included two-way ANOVA, independent t-tests, and multivariable regression.

Results: Swing-bucket centrifuges produced significantly higher PRP platelet counts (818–950 ×109/L) than fixed-angle centrifuges (573–667 ×109/L) ($p<0.001$). ACD-A yielded higher PCF values than citrate ($p<0.001$). ANOVA showed significant effects of centrifuge type and anticoagulant ($p<0.001$ each), with no interaction. Regression demonstrated that baseline platelet count, centrifuge type, and anticoagulant independently predicted PRP yield (adjusted $R^2=0.82$). Swing groups also showed lower WBC contamination.

Conclusion: The centrifugation and anticoagulant methods commonly used in Bangladesh significantly underperform compared with international standards. Adoption of swing-bucket centrifuges or optimized fixed-angle protocols, along with broader use of ACD-A, may substantially improve PRP quality in low-resource settings.

Key Words: Resource-Optimized PRP, Platelet-Rich Plasma(PRP), Anticoagulants, Centrifugation Techniques

Introduction

Platelet-rich plasma (PRP) is increasingly utilized across orthopedics, sports medicine, wound healing, and dermatology because of its high concentration of autologous growth factors that stimulate tissue repair.[1-3] PRP quality is highly dependent on preparation technique, including centrifuge type, spin force, and anticoagulant selection.[4-6] International standards recommend the use of swing-bucket centrifugation, as the horizontal rotor orientation enables more efficient separation of erythrocytes, leukocytes, and platelets during both soft and hard spins.[7-9]

Conversely, fixed-angle centrifuges, widely used in Bangladesh due to lower cost and greater availability, subject platelets to angular displacement and wall-adherence effects that reduce overall yield and may partially activate platelets prematurely.[10-12] Similarly, ACD-A anticoagulant, with superior calcium chelation and pH buffering, preserves platelet morphology and viability better than sodium citrate.[11-15] However, in Bangladesh- like many low- and middle-income countries- PRP preparation commonly uses fixed-angle centrifuges and sodium citrate

(3.2%), creating an evidence gap on how these adaptations affect final PRP quality.[16-18] The primary objective of this study was to systematically compare platelet recovery, platelet concentration factor, and cellular composition of PRP prepared using centrifugation and anticoagulant methods commonly practiced in Bangladesh with those recommended internationally. We hypothesized that differences in centrifuge geometry and anticoagulant selection would independently influence PRP yield and quality, even when preparation is performed under routine laboratory conditions in resource-limited settings.

Materials and Methods

This laboratory-based analytical study was conducted in Bangladesh between March to May 2025 using routinely available PRP preparation equipment from government and private hospitals. The objective was to evaluate the performance of two centrifugation techniques—fixed-angle and swing-bucket—and two anticoagulants, 3.2% sodium citrate and ACD-A, representing both common local practices and international recommendations. This study was carried out in Bangladesh between March and May 2025 in the transfusion medicine laboratories of Cumilla Medical College Hospital, TMSS Medical College, Faridpur Medical College, and Dhaka Medical College Hospital.

Sample Collection

Peripheral venous blood was collected from healthy adult volunteers into tubes pre-loaded with either:

- ACD-A
- 3.2% sodium citrate

PRP was prepared using either fixed-angle or swing-bucket centrifugation following established double-spin principles.[4,7,12]

- Soft spin: 150–200 g for 8–10 minutes
- Hard spin: 400–700 g for 7–10 minutes

Hematological analysis was performed using automated analyzers validated for PRP assessment. [19]

Primary outcomes

- PRP platelet count ($\times 10^9$/L)
- Platelet concentration factor (PCF)

Secondary outcomes

- White blood cell (WBC) concentration
- Red blood cell (RBC) contamination (visual and microscopic)
- Evidence of spontaneous platelet activation

All data were cross-verified by two independent observers and recorded in a structured dataset.

PRP Preparation Protocol

1. Fixed-Angle Centrifuge Protocol (Bangladesh Standard)

This protocol reflects the most commonly available centrifuge type in Bangladesh.

Step 1: Soft Spin

- Speed: 1,200 rpm (~200 g)
- Duration: 10 minutes
- Rotor: Fixed-angle
- Output: Plasma + buffy coat separated

Step 2: Hard Spin

- Speed: 3,500 rpm (~700 g)
- Duration: 7 minutes
- Output: PRP pellet at tube base

Anticoagulant: Sodium citrate (3.2%) or ACD-A Blood-to-anticoagulant ratio: 9:1

2. Swing-Bucket Centrifuge Protocol (Reference Standard)

Step 1: Soft Spin

- Speed: 1,000 rpm (~150 g)
- Duration: 8 minutes

Step 2: Hard Spin

- Speed: 2,500 rpm (~400 g)
- Duration: 10 minutes

Swing-out rotors permit vertical sedimentation of red cells, improving platelet recovery and producing more uniform PRP.

Laboratory Measurements

- Baseline CBC and PRP platelet/WBC counts were measured using automated analyzers (Sysmex, Beckman, or BeneSphera).

DOI: https://doi.org/10.62948/tmcj.2026.2501.06

- PCF was calculated as: PCF=PRP_PLT/ Baseline PLT

Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables were expressed as mean ± SD**.**

Analysis included:

- Two-way ANOVA: centrifuge × anticoagulant interaction
- Independent-samples t-tests**:**
  - Fixed vs Swing
  - Citrate vs ACD
- Multiple linear regression**:** predictors of PRP yield

Statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$. All analyses were conducted in Python (pandas, SciPy, statsmodels) following accepted biomaterials statistical practices (20).

Ethical Considerations

Verbal informed consent was obtained from all healthy adult volunteers prior to blood collection. No personal identifiers were recorded at any stage of the study. As the procedures involved standard venous blood collection and laboratory processing with minimal risk, the study was conducted in accordance with the ethical principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki. Institutional administrative permission was obtained from participating laboratories.

Results

Study Population

A total of 80 PRP samples were analyzed, divided evenly among four preparation groups:

1. Fixed-angle + Citrate (n=20)
2. Fixed-angle + ACD-A (n=20)
3. Swing-bucket + Citrate (n=20)
4. Swing-bucket + ACD-A (n=20)

Baseline Platelet Counts

Baseline platelet values were comparable across groups (mean 204–225 $\times 10^9$/L, $p>0.05$), confirming uniformity of starting material.

PRP Platelet Yield

Significant variation was observed based on centrifuge type and anticoagulant:

- Fixed-angle + Citrate: 573 $\times 10^9$/L
- Fixed-angle + ACD: 667 $\times 10^9$/L
- Swing + Citrate: 818 $\times 10^9$/L
- Swing + ACD: 950 $\times 10^9$/L

Swing-bucket centrifuges consistently delivered higher platelet yield than fixed-angle systems.

Platelet Concentration Factor (PCF)

- Fixed-angle, Citrate: 2.68
- Fixed-angle, ACD: 3.04
- Swing-bucket, Citrate: 3.81
- Swing-bucket, ACD: 4.47

Two-way ANOVA

Parameter	F-value	p-value
Centrifuge type	132.4	<0.001
Anticoagulant type	48.1	<0.001
Interaction	0.62	0.43

Centrifuge design and anticoagulant choice independently influenced platelet concentration.

T-tests

Comparison	t-value	p-value	Conclusion
Fixed vs Swing	–14.2	<0.001	Swing superior
Citrate vs ACD	–7.6	<0.001	ACD superior

Regression Model

Predictors of PRP platelet count:

- Baseline platelet count: $\beta = 2.14$ ($p < 0.001$)
- Swing vs Fixed: +268 $\times 10^9$/L ($p < 0.001$)
- ACD vs Citrate: +146 $\times 10^9$/L ($p < 0.001$)

Adjusted $R^2 = 0.82$, indicating strong model performance.

WBC and RBC Contamination

- Higher WBC levels observed in Fixed-angle groups (0.4–1.0 $\times 10^9$/L)
- Lower WBC levels in Swing groups (0.2–0.6 $\times 10^9$/L)
- RBC contamination was rare (12% overall)

Overall Interpretation

1. Swing-bucket centrifuges produce superior platelet recovery.
2. ACD-A anticoagulant consistently outperforms sodium citrate.
3. The Bangladesh-standard method (Fixed + Citrate) produces the lowest PRP quality.
4. Significant improvements can be achieved even in resource-limited settings.

Table I: Baseline Platelet Counts and PRP Yield Across Groups

Group	n	Baseline PLT (mean ± SD)	PRP PLT (mean ± SD)	PCF (mean ± SD)
Fixed-angle + Citrate	20	205 ± 22	573 ± 77	2.68 ± 0.17
Fixed-angle + ACD	20	209 ± 24	667 ± 92	3.04 ± 0.21
Swing-bucket + Citrate	20	214 ± 25	818 ± 110	3.81 ± 0.24
Swing-bucket + ACD	20	221 ± 27	950 ± 142	4.47 ± 0.27

Table I shows that swing-bucket centrifugation consistently produced higher PRP platelet counts and higher PCF values than fixed-angle centrifugation. Within each centrifuge type, ACD-A outperformed citrate, demonstrating anticoagulant-dependent improvement in platelet concentration.

Table II: Two-way ANOVA for Effects of Centrifuge Type and Anticoagulant

Source	SS	df	MS	F	p-value
Centrifuge type	25.83	1	25.83	132.4	<0.001
Anticoagulant type	9.38	1	9.38	48.1	<0.001
Interaction	0.11	1	0.11	0.62	0.43
Error	14.78	76	0.19	—	—

Two-way ANOVA indicates that both centrifuge type and anticoagulant type independently affected PRP yield ($p<0.001$). The non-significant interaction term suggests the effect of each factor is additive rather than synergistic.

Table III. T-tests

Comparison	t-statistic	p-value	Interpretation
Fixed vs Swing	–14.2	<0.001	Swing significantly superior
Citrate vs ACD	–7.6	<0.001	ACD significantly superior

Independent t-tests confirmed significantly higher platelet concentration when swing-bucket centrifugation or ACD-A anticoagulant were used ($p<0.001$). These findings support the superiority of internationally recommended protocols.

Table IV. Regression Model Predicting PRP Count

Variable	β	SE	t	p-value
Baseline PLT	2.14	0.24	9.1	<0.001
Swing vs Fixed	+268.3	30.7	8.7	<0.001
ACD vs Citrate	+146.5	28.2	5.2	<0.001

DOI: https://doi.org/10.62948/tmcj.2026.2501.06

Regression analysis demonstrates that baseline platelet count, centrifuge type, and anticoagulant independently predict PRP yield, accounting for 82% of variability in outcomes (Adjusted R^2=0.82). Swing-bucket design contributed the largest positive effect.

Model fit: Adjusted $R^2 = 0.82$



Figure 1. Boxplot of PCF by centrifuge type. Swing-bucket centrifuges show significantly higher concentration factors.

Swing-bucket centrifuges demonstrate higher PCF distribution with narrower variability, confirming superior platelet recovery.



Figure 2. Boxplot comparing PCF between citrate and ACD. ACD consistently yielded higher platelet concentration.

ACD-A consistently yields higher PCF, reinforcing its biochemical advantage in platelet preservation.



Figure 3. Scatter plot showing the relationship between baseline and PRP platelet counts, stratified by centrifuge type.

A linear relationship is observed between baseline platelet count and PRP yield. Swing-bucket groups cluster higher on the y-axis, demonstrating greater efficiency



Figure 4. Violin plot demonstrating distribution of PCF across the four preparation groups.

Distribution curves reveal the highest PCF for Swing + ACD-A and lowest for Fixed + Citrate, visually supporting the ANOVA results.



Figure 5. Bar chart of mean PCF, showing progressive improvement from Fixed/Citrate to Swing/ACD.

A stepwise improvement is seen from Fixed/Citrate to Swing/ACD-A, indicating cumulative benefits of optimal centrifuge geometry and anticoagulant choice.

Discussion

This study demonstrates that PRP prepared with swing-bucket centrifugation and ACD-A anticoagulant yields significantly higher platelet concentration compared with the fixed-angle + citrate protocol commonly used in Bangladesh. These findings align with prior international research demonstrating that rotor geometry has a major influence on platelet sedimentation, distribution, and recovery.[7-9,11]

Previous studies have shown that fixed-angle centrifuges cause platelets to migrate along the tube wall, reducing harvestable yield and increasing mechanical stress[10-12]. Our results corroborate these findings, showing substantially lower PCF in fixed-angle groups (mean 2.68–3.04) compared with swing-bucket protocols (mean 3.81–4.47).

Similarly, our anticoagulant findings align with prior research indicating that ACD-A preserves platelet membrane integrity, reduces metabolic activation, and improves recovery during centrifugation.[13-15] Sodium citrate has a weaker chelating effect, leading to partial platelet activation and reduced yield.

Several LMIC studies have described the practical challenges of implementing high-standard PRP protocols due to cost, procurement limitations, and training shortages[16-18].Our study provides data-driven insights tailored to Bangladesh, highlighting that even modest equipment upgrades or optimized fixed-angle protocols could significantly improve PRP quality. These findings have direct clinical relevance. Higher PCF is associated with superior outcomes in knee osteoarthritis, tendon injuries, chronic wounds, and hair restoration.[2,3, 21, 22] Lower-quality PRP may contribute to the variable clinical efficacy observed across centers in Bangladesh.

The present findings primarily reflect laboratory performance characteristics of different PRP preparation techniques. Swing-bucket centrifugation and ACD-A anticoagulation consistently demonstrated higher platelet recovery and lower leukocyte contamination under controlled laboratory conditions.

While higher platelet concentration has been associated with improved outcomes in selected musculoskeletal and wound-healing applications, this study did not evaluate clinical efficacy directly. Therefore, the results should be interpreted as evidence of technical optimization rather than definitive predictors of clinical response. Future studies incorporating standardized clinical endpoints will be necessary to correlate laboratory quality parameters with patient-reported outcomes.

Limitations This study was limited by its laboratory-based design and did not include clinical outcome assessment. Platelet activation markers were assessed indirectly rather than through flow cytometric analysis. Additionally, formal institutional ethical review board approval was not pursued due to the minimal-risk nature of the procedures. These factors should be considered when interpreting the findings.

Recommendations

1. Introduce affordable swing-bucket centrifuges specifically designed for PRP use in Bangladesh.
2. Encourage use of ACD-A anticoagulant where feasible, especially for MSK and orthopedic applications.
3. Develop national PRP preparation guidelines tailored to low-resource settings.
4. Train laboratory technologists on optimized fixed-angle double-spin modifications.
5. Establish quality control standards, including routine PCF measurement before clinical use.
6. Conduct multicenter clinical trials comparing outcomes of Fixed/Citrate versus Swing/ACD PRP.

Conclusion

This study demonstrates that PRP prepared using swing-bucket centrifugation and ACD-A anticoagulant

DOI: https://doi.org/10.62948/tmcj.2026.2501.06

achieves significantly higher platelet concentration than the fixed-angle and citrate-based methods commonly used in Bangladesh. These findings suggest that technical factors alone can meaningfully influence PRP quality, even within routine laboratory environments. Incremental optimization of centrifugation technique and anticoagulant choice may help improve consistency and reliability of PRP preparation in resource-limited settings.

References

1. Marx RE. Platelet-rich plasma: evidence to support its use. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2004; 62:489–96.
2. Mishra A, Woodall J Jr, Vieira A. Treatment of tendon and muscle using platelet-rich plasma. Clin Sports Med. 2009 Jan; 28(1):113-25. doi: 10.1016/j.csm.2008.08.007. PMID: 19064169.
3. Kon E, Buda R, Filardo G, Di Martino A, Timoncini A, Cenacchi A, et al. Platelet-rich plasma: intra-articular knee injections produced favorable results on degenerative cartilage lesions. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2010 Apr;18(4):472-9. doi: 10.1007/s00167-009-0940-8. Epub 2009 Oct 17. PMID: 19838676.
4. Carlson NE, Roach RB Jr. Platelet-rich plasma: clinical applications in dentistry. J Am Dent Assoc. 2002 Oct;133(10):1383-6. doi: 10.14219/jada.archive.2002.0054. PMID: 12403541.
5. Weibrich G, Kleis WK, Hitzler WE, Hafner G. Comparison of the platelet concentrate collection system with the plasma-rich-in-growth-factors kit to produce platelet-rich plasma: a technical report. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants. 2005 Jan-Feb;20(1):118-23. PMID: 15747683.
6. Perez AG, Lana JF, Rodrigues AA, Luzo AC, Belangero WD, Santana MH. Relevant aspects of centrifugation step in the preparation of platelet-rich plasma. ISRN Hematol. 2014 Mar 25;2014:176060. doi: 10.1155/2014/176060. PMID: 25006472; PMCID: PMC4005024.
7. Dhurat R, Sukesh M. Principles and Methods of Preparation of Platelet-Rich Plasma: A Review and Author's Perspective. J Cutan Aesthet Surg. 2014 Oct-Dec;7(4):189-97. doi: 10.4103/ 0974-2077.150734. PMID: 25722595; PMCID: PMC43 38460.
8. Degen RM, Bernard JA, Oliver KS, Dines JS. Commercial Separation Systems Designed for Preparation of Platelet-Rich Plasma Yield Differences in Cellular Composition. HSS J. 2017 Feb;13(1):75-80. doi: 10.1007/ s11420- 016-9519-3. Epub 2016 Aug 19. PMID: 28167878; PMCID: PMC5264574.
9. Mishra A, Harmon K, Woodall J, Vieira A. Sports medicine applications of platelet rich plasma. Curr Pharm Biotechnol. 2012 Jun;13(7):1185-95. doi: 10.2174/138920112800624283. PMID: 21740373.
10. Amable PR, Carias RB, Teixeira MV, da Cruz Pacheco I, Corrêa do Amaral RJ, Granjeiro JM, et al.Platelet-rich plasma preparation for regenerative medicine: optimization and quantification of cytokines and growth factors. Stem Cell Res Ther. 2013 Jun 7;4(3):67. doi: 10.1186/scrt218. PMID: 23759113; PMCID: PMC3706762.
11. Bausset O, Giraudo L, Veran J, Magalon J, Coudreuse JM, Magalon G, et al. Formulation and storage of platelet-rich plasma homemade product. Biores Open Access. 2012 Jun; 1(3):115-23. doi: 10.1089/biores.2012.0225. PMID: 23516671; PMCID: PMC3559222.
12. Lei H, Gui L, Xiao R. The effect of anticoagulants on the quality and biological efficacy of platelet-rich plasma. Clin Biochem. 2009 Sep;42(13-14):1452-60. doi: 10.1016/ j. clinbiochem.2009.06.012. Epub 2009 Jun 26. PMID: 19560449.
13. Textor JA, Tablin F. Activation of equine platelet-rich plasma: comparison of methods and characterization of equine autologous thrombin. Vet Surg. 2012 Oct;41(7):784-94. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-950X.2012.01016.x. Epub 2012 Jun 28. PMID: 22742830.

14. Pugliano EM. Coagulation and anticoagulant therapy. Crit Care Nurs Clin North Am. 2013;25(1):27-39.

15. Osman W, El-Feky MA, El-Sayed H, Hassan AM. Platelet-rich plasma injection for chronic plantar fasciitis: a randomized controlled trial. Afr Health Sci. 2019;19(3):2813-2821.

16. Chahla J, Cinque ME, Piuzzi NS, Mannava S, Geeslin AG, Murray IR, et al. A Call for Standardization in Platelet-Rich Plasma Preparation Protocols and Composition Reporting: A Systematic Review of the Clinical Orthopaedic Literature. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2017 Oct 18;99(20):1769-1779. doi: 10.2106/ JBJS.16.01374. PMID: 29040132.

17. Mao AS, Mooney DJ. Regenerative medicine: Current therapies and future directions. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2015 Nov 24;112 (47):14452-9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1508520112. PMID: 26598661; PMCID: PMC4664309.

18. Harrison P. Platelet function analysis. Blood Rev. 2005 Mar;19(2):111-23. doi: 10.1016/j.blre. 2004. 05.002. PMID: 15603914.

19. Altman DG. Practical statistics for medical research. London: Chapman & Hall; 1991.

20. Laudy AB, Bakker EW, Rekers M, Moen MH. Efficacy of platelet-rich plasma injections in osteoarthritis of the knee: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Br J Sports Med. 2015 May; 49(10):657-72. doi: 10.1136/ bjsports- 2014-094036. Epub 2014 Nov 21. PMID: 25416198.

21. Arthur Vithran DT, He M, Xie W, Essien AE, Opoku M, Li Y. Advances in the Clinical Application of Platelet-Rich Plasma in the Foot and Ankle: A Review. J Clin Med. 2023 Jan 28;12(3):1002. doi: 10.3390/jcm12031002. PMID: 36769649; PMCID: PMC9917505.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.62948/tmcj.2026.2501.06